## LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CHECKLIST

## Predesign

**Scope and Budget** 

Within Scope and Budget
Site program matches PMIS scope, budget
Project scope matches GMP or other planning document
Class C estimate includes appropriate site development
NEPA/106 Compliance
ESF is complete
LA Design Standards (Sustainability)
Proposed design adequately addresses site needs of PMIS project
Site analysis complete, supports proposed design
Site design utilizes previously disturbed areas (brownfields) before new sites developed
Accessibility issues identified, universal access incorporated
NPS Policies and Guidelines (NPS ethic)
Proposed design reflects park design standards, HSR, CLR
Proposed design adequately addresses site needs of PMIS project
Coordination
Architectural and site program/analysis coordinated with no conflicting elements
Completeness
Required deliverables submitted
Schematic Design

Page 1 12/14/2004 Landscape Architectural.doc

Site development matches DAB approved scope, budget	
Class B estimate includes appropriate site development	
NEPA/106 Compliance	
Required environmental/cultural studies identified in ESF are complete, support proposed desi	gn
EA/CE complete, additional needs and permitting identified, scheduled	
LA Design Standards (Sustainability)	
Design is appropriate for site, building orientation, site drainage, access	
Natural drainages are preserved	
Building, parking and walks sited to minimize site disturbance	
Outdoor use areas are sited to provide adequate shading, interpretation, views, appropriate seating, width for function	
Common utility/vehicular/pedestrian corridor utilized	
ADA requirements are met, universal access, number of parking spaces @ 2% maximum grad 5% maximum walks or 8% with handrails	e,
NPS Policies and Guidelines (NPS ethic)	
Adequate value-based decision tools utilized	
DAB recommendations are incorporated	
Proposed design reflects park design standards, HSR, CLR	
Coordination	
Completeness	
Required deliverables submitted	
All review comments from Pre Design Review satisfactorily resolved	
Design Development	
Within Scope and Budget	
Site development matches DAB approved scope, budget	
(VA) recommendations addressed	
Class B estimate includes appropriate site development	

Page 2 12/14/2004 Landscape Architectural.doc

NEPA/106 Compliance
Developed area is same as that cleared by (EA), all utility requirements within site cleared by NEPA document
Compliance is complete, needed permits identified, scheduled
LA Design Standards (Sustainability, Accessibility)
Demolition Plan clearly identifies site elements to be removed AND protects resources to remain, provides adequate tree protection
Demolition instructions are clear on what to remove and what to remain, and are coordinated with design documents.
Design minimizes amount of impervious surface constructed
Site Plans adequately distinguishes existing conditions from proposed development
Site layout, materials and form are appropriate for climatic region
ADA requirements are met, universal access, number of parking spaces @ 2% maximum grade, 5% maximum walks or 8% with handrails
Road and parking turning radii appropriate for use identified
Culverts are sized adequately to reduce maintenance
Outline Specifications clearly reflect overview of treatment and quality that is presented in the drawings
Building location meets all setback requirements, zoning codes, and deed restrictions.
NPS Policies and Guidelines (NPS ethic)
Proposed design/details reflect park design standards, HSR, CLR
NPS cover sheet is used, clearly indicating park boundaries, limits of proposed work, and any historic district boundaries
Drawings comply with Director's Order and Reference Manual 10A, DSC CAD Users Guide, and NPS/DSC Cad Drafting Standards
Coordination
Organization of discipline drawings such as civil, landscape architectural, architectural, followed by structural, then HVAC, plumbing, fire protection, and electrical complies with Director's Order and Reference Manual 10A.
Spot elevations match site, civil, landscape, architectural and structural drawings.
Limits of construction match landscape, civil, plumbing, and electrical site plans.
Site property lines and existing conditions match survey and civil drawings.

Page 3 12/14/2004 Landscape Architectural.doc

Completeness
Required deliverables submitted
All review comments from Schematic Design Review satisfactorily resolved
100% Draft Construction Documents
Scope and Budget
Class A estimate within budget Class A estimate includes appropriate site development
NEPA/106 Compliance
Compliance is complete
LA Design Standards (Sustainability)
NPS landscape architectural standards followed
Appropriate treatment and "quality" of materials are represented in the Specifications
Site elevations match plans and have the same scale (or exaggerated vertical scale).
ADA requirements are met, universal access, number of parking spaces @ 2% maximum grade 5% maximum walks, or 8% with handrails
Road, parking and site wall designs consistent with Geotechnical Report
Utility corridor width is adequate for depth of burial and utility separation
Expansion and control joint spacing in walks, slabs-on-grade and walls
Retaining wall foundations shown in the correct locations with no site/vegetation interference
Footing width of walls shown with no site/vegetation conflicts
Mortar and grout specified correctly
All required specification sections provided
Wood treatment – no CCA
NPS Policies and Guidelines (NPS ethic)
Proposed design/details reflect park design standards, HSR, CLR
Specifications follow NPS format and include contract price or bid schedule
Drawings comply with Director's Order and Reference Manual 10A, DSC CAD Users Guide, an NPS/DSC Cad Drafting Standards

Page 4 12/14/2004 Landscape Architectural.doc

## Site sections match elevations, plans, and structural drawings. Site plan match lines are consistent on site, civil, mechanical, plumbing, and electrical site drawings Completeness (documents adequate to construct intended facility) Required deliverables submitted All review comments from Design Development Review satisfactorily resolved Submit 100% Complete Construction Documents for Final Approval Required deliverables submitted All review comments from 100% Draft Review satisfactorily resolved

Coordination